SOMETHING'S FISHY

LEAD PLANNER'S GUIDE



This publication was supported by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of a financial assistance award (FAIN) totaling \$500,000 with 100 percent funded by FDA/HHS. The contents are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement by, the FDA/HHS or the U.S. government.



CONTENTS

Lead Planner's Guide	1
Contents	2
Introduction / Welcome	3
Overview	3
Structure and Objective of Exercises	3
Planning and Operations	6
Exercise and Participant Identification	6
Lead Planner's Roles and Responsibilities	7
After-Action Report / Improvement Plan (AAR/IP) (optional)	10
Appendix A: Module Map	12
Learning Objectives (LO)	12
Appendix B: Sample Agenda	14
Appendix C: Facilitator and Evaluator Roles	16
Facilitator's Roles and Responsibilities	16
Evaluator's Role and Responsibilities (Optional)	17
Appendix D: Participant Feedback Form	18
Part I – Recommendations and Action Steps	18
Part II – Exercise Design and Conduct	19
Appendix E: After-Action Report/Improvement Plan (AAR/IP)	20
Appendix F: Sign-In Sheet	21
Appendix G: Personal Learning Inventory (included in SITMAN)	22

INTRODUCTION / WELCOME

Welcome to the Something's Fishy Lead Planner's Guide.

As the lead planner, you will be responsible for overseeing the planning, operations, logistics, and administration of the training event. This guide provides you with all the necessary information to plan, coordinate, and execute these events.

Overview

At any point during production or distribution, food can be contaminated. It is critical that relevant parties/partners understand the roles and responsibilities of all participating entities during investigations, since communication is key to the efficiency and success of investigations.

This tabletop exercise has been designed by a group of subject matter and instructional design experts to provide participants with a real-life, plausible food safety scenario. This exercise focuses on the intentional aspects of food fraud and how they intersect with food quality, food safety, and food defense. What begins as a typical food quality issue evolves into a broader investigation as food fraud is uncovered, ultimately involving multiple jurisdictions and agencies, including law enforcement. While the scenario has been simplified to present the information effectively, the scenario and the discussion questions have been designed to encourage participant dialogue and bring to the surface topics that are critically important to react to during such incidents. The exercises have also been developed to provide participants with an opportunity to explore important topics like interagency collaboration, jurisdictional issues, and risk communication. If there has been an update to the procedures in your jurisdiction, please be sure to make the group aware of the change and work with the facilitator to ensure that all participants understand the update.

The original development of this program was supported by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the Innovative Food Defense Program, and was finalized through the Retail Collaborative Financial Assistance Award granted to the Food and Drug Officials (AFDO). The contents are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement by, the FDA, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), or the U.S. government.

This exercise was originally developed by Michigan State University and the Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development. AFDO supported the finalization of materials. The scenario was further developed by subject matter experts, AFDO, and Food Safety Strategy, LLC.

Structure and Objective of Exercises

Something's Fishy is designed as a 7-hour (contact time) tabletop exercise in which the scenario focuses attention on intentional aspects of food fraud and how they relate to food quality; it also generates discussion on food safety and food defense. The typical food quality scenario broadens as Food Fraud is discovered, and the investigation eventually crosses jurisdictional boundaries. The concurrent activities of various agencies, including law enforcement, are included.

Lead Planner's Guide: Food Truck Fiasco - Page 3

- Module 1: Pre-incident
- Module 2: Early Incident
- Module 3: Late Incident
- Module 4: Aftermath

Participation in this exercise will allow learners to:

- 1. Articulate their specific roles and responsibilities to other professionals in reacting to a discovered Food Fraud incident.
- 2. State the purpose of having multiple agencies assume distinct and sometimes overlapping duties to effectively address and remedy the situation.
- 3. Collaborate with a diverse group of responders that may not have worked together before (e.g., food regulators, media, law enforcement, private sector risk managers).
- 4. Identify other entities or agencies that are needed to properly address the situation but have not been included on the team.
- 5. Propose comprehensive, collaborative, and effective ideas, strategies, and solutions to ensure the timely remediation of the discovered Food Fraud incident.
- 6. Identify the strengths and development needs of their own agencies to improve or enhance their team's ability to detect and respond to a Food Fraud incident.

Note: Appendix A maps the learning objectives to questions that can be presented to each of the participant groups, by module.

The following materials are included to aid in executing this tabletop exercise:

- **Situation Manual (SITMAN)** A handbook given to participants that contains the scenario, the tabletop exercise schedule (draft agenda is also provided as *Appendix B*), objectives, a Personal Learning Inventory, and any supplemental documentation needed.
- **Facilitator Guide** A handbook that provides guidance for facilitating the session, including slide notes.
- **PPT Presentation** Used by the facilitator in conjunction with the SITMAN to lead participants through the scenario.
- Certificate Should be printed and presented to participants after the exercise.
- **Table Tents** A printable document used to group participants.
- After Action Report/Improvement Plan (AAR/IP) (optional) The primary document resulting
 from an evaluation of the tabletop exercise. The lead evaluator should be designated as the
 person responsible for creating the AAR/IP and identifying a team to assist with the

development of this report. For further definition and details, please see *Appendix E* and review the AAR/IP template.

• **EEG (optional)** – Exercise evaluation guide for evaluators.

PLANNING AND OPERATIONS

Exercise and Participant Identification

By design, tabletop exercises involve key personnel discussing simulated scenarios in an informal setting. Tabletops can be used to assess plans, policies, and procedures. Participants should represent a broad array of viewpoints and professional responsibilities so they can adequately address the tabletop exercise objectives and provide value to the group dialogue.

Five groups are the focus of the scenario, and the exercise is designed to facilitate discussion among these various organizations:

- Food industry
- State, local, tribal, and territorial regulatory agencies
- Government and federal agencies (FDA, USDA, FSIS)
- Law enforcement (USDA OPEER CID, USDA OIG, state and local law enforcement agencies, FBI, DHS)

To help you identify the appropriate participants for the **Something's Fishy** exercise, the following descriptions are provided for each of the roles and responsibilities: lead planner, participants, facilitator, evaluator, group leader, and group recorder. (Additional details on the roles of the facilitator and evaluators are included in *Appendix C*):

- **Lead Planner** The person who has overall responsibility for the tabletop exercise, including convening the Planning Team and all pre- and post-exercise needs.
- **Participants** Respond to the scenario based on their firsthand, experiential knowledge; current plans and procedures of their entity, agency, or jurisdiction; and insights from training and experience.
- **Facilitator** Generally leads the exercise, provides situation updates, and moderates discussions. Also provides additional information and resolves questions as needed.
- Evaluator(s) Representatives chosen by the Planning Team to record the events at each breakout table or group. This person does not participate in the tabletop exercise but captures the essence of the dialogue for use in the After-Action Report. They are chosen based on their expertise in the area (e.g., epidemiology) that they are to observe. There should be one evaluator assigned to each breakout table or group.
- **Group Leader** A representative from each table (volunteered by the group) who will lead the group as it explores discussion questions and performs the breakout activities.

• **Group Recorder/Reporter** – A representative from each table (volunteered by the group) who will ensure that the group discussions are kept on time, record the key themes discussed at the table, and be responsible for reporting out during the large group dialogue.

Lead Planner's Roles and Responsibilities

3-4 Mc	onths in Advance of Event
	Identify and coordinate an Exercise Planning Team if necessary. It is highly recommended that lead planners convene and serve as leaders of the exercise planning team. The planning team should comprise key leaders from your jurisdiction and represent the jurisdiction's various agencies, stakeholders, and subject matter experts. For each tabletop exercise, suggested participant groups are recommended, and it may be beneficial to include representatives from these groups on the planning team.
	Determine the scope of the exercise, including which groups should be represented (e.g., options for multiple jurisdictions, states).
	Identify a facilitator. The ideal facilitator would have subject matter expertise and general knowledge about how all of the individuals involved in a multi-jurisdictional incident may work together to protect public health most effectively and efficiently. See <i>Appendix C</i> for more information on the role of the facilitator.
	Select a site/date for the tabletop exercise. Secure a meeting location that can accommodate round tables or pods set for 5-8 people per table, and has adequate AV and audio.
	 The exercise works best with ~25-30 people. The suggested maximum size is ~100 participants (e.g., 3 tables for each participant category)
	Determine whether meals and snacks will be provided. If possible, have lunch onsite or very nearby, as opposed to releasing people for lunch.
	Identify a team of evaluators and ensure that the lead evaluator has the tools needed to coordinate the development of the AAR/IP. Additional details on the role of evaluators are included in <i>Appendix C</i> .
2-3 Mc	onths in Advance of Event
	Facility Space: Perform a site visit to ensure the location is appropriate. In other words, it's large enough; has a minimum of four tables for tabletop groups; and areas for lunch, snacks, and day of check-in; restrooms; and either IT support or clear instructions for adjusting temperature, power, lights, etc. Make sure the room is accessible to all participants, including those with disabilities. Ensure all participants can see the facilitator and the PPT displayed on the projector.

	Decide, in collaboration with the Exercise Planning team and facilitator, which questions to prioritize and use at the end of each module. The template agenda (Appendix B) allows sufficient time for participants to address all questions.
	Note: To ensure that all stated learning objectives are addressed during the discussion, please select at least one question from each objective to present during the exercise. The tables in <i>Appendix A</i> map each question to one or more objectives. These tables should be referenced in the question selection process.
2 Mont	ths in Advance of the Event
	Conduct an introductory meeting with the facilitator to discuss training and the learning objectives.
	Identify and invite a balance of exercise participants, ideally 6 to 8 weeks in advance, so that each group has roughly equal numbers of attendees, and participants can block time on their schedules. Consider capabilities, objectives, and desired outcomes for each group invited.
	Develop and send a participant registration/RSVP (e.g., Survey Monkey, Google Doc) to collect information on participants/assign groups:
	 First and last name Email address Phone number Organization/department Role (select 1) Food industry official State, local, tribal, or territorial regulatory agency representative Law enforcement official Federal regulatory official (e.g., FDA or CDC) Dietary restrictions Special accommodations (e.g., hearing, mobility, etc.)
	o Emergency contact
ш	Determine a backup plan or cancellation of the training plan due to an emergency or weather.
2 Weel	ks-1 Month in Advance of Event
	Help the facilitator understand expectations and go through the Facilitator Guide together.
	Finalize the PowerPoint deck and SITMAN with location-specific details. Some duplicate slides in the deck have red text. These can be "hidden," deleted, or adjusted to reflect the locations relevant to the scenario and jurisdiction(s). Similarly, the SITMAN text in red should be adjusted prior to finalizing and printing.

	AV/Audio: Be sure to include a microphone if the room is large, or to aid in hearing the facilitator by participants. Secure a power strip and extension cord, if needed.
	Secure a laptop computer with Microsoft PowerPoint or PowerPoint viewer and projection equipment (LCD, DLP) for the facilitator's use. Consider a backup computer.
1 Weel	k in Advance of Event
	Send a reminder email to remind participants of the event and location details (e.g., parking, location details, arrival time, agenda).
	Check in with the facilitator and ensure they are comfortable with the exercise.
	Arrange lunch. (Bringing lunch into the training location is recommended due to time constraints unless local eateries are nearby.)
	Obtain snacks and drinks.
	Load the PPT Presentation Slide Deck in advance.
	o Consider a backup copy on a USB.
	Gather supplies, including markers, pens, highlighters, paper, sticky notes, and flip charts for exercise groups/participants, registration, and "parking lot."
	Name badges: Provide all participants with a name badge during the sign-in process. Indicate the participant's name, agency/organization, and the name of the participant group to which they are assigned. This will make it easy to call the participants by name and identify participants from a particular agency/organization.
	Table tents: Place on the tables before the tabletop exercise begins (see Table Tent file). The table tents will guide participants to the appropriate location. At sign-in, participants should be directed to the table listed on their name badge.
	Make copies of the situation manual (SITMAN) for each participant, which includes their Personal Learning Inventory (also included as <i>Appendix G</i>).
	Make copies of the agenda for each participant. (Appendix B is a sample agenda.)
	Develop and bring copies of an evaluation (optional) if you want to ask questions beyond the required AFDO electronic evaluation. ($Appendix\ D$ is a paper-based copy of the electronic evaluation form.)
	Bring copies of certificates for participants unless you opt to email them post-event.
	Sign-In Sheet for participants (<i>Appendix F</i>) to record attendance. You may want to provide each participant with a finalized, typed version of the attendance roster so they have the names and contact information of the other attendees.

	Bring a copy of the Facilitator's Guide (paper copy for Facilitator use).
	Send the facilitator a list of participants and their affiliations (information from the registration survey).
1 Day i	n Advance of Event
	Send an email to remind participants of the event. Give location details (e.g., parking, location details, arrival time, agenda).
	Confirm lunch order (if a working lunch).
Day of	Event
	Arrive early to set up the room: The facilitator and the lead planner should arrive at least 30 – ideally, 60 – minutes before the scheduled start time to make sure the room is set up properly and the necessary documents and supplies are available. If possible, set up the room one day early and test all audio/visual equipment and the multimedia presentation.
	Direct participants to their table group as indicated by their personalized table tents.
	Distribute the SITMAN and agendas to all participants.
	Ensure the AFDO evaluation is completed before participants leave.
After t	he Event
	Work with Evaluators to finalize the AAR/IP.
	Within 30 days, distribute the draft AAR/IP to participants as a "For Official Use Only" document (optional).
	Within 60 days, distribute the final AAR/IP to participants (optional).

After-Action Report / Improvement Plan (AAR/IP) (optional)

An After-Action Report/Improvement Plan (AAR/IP) is the primary document resulting from an evaluation of your tabletop exercise and consists of two interdependent parts. A condensed version can be found in *Appendix E*, with the full version available as Download Item 8. The AAR portion includes observations about the exercise and makes recommendations for post-exercise improvements. These observations should be documented using the Exercise Evaluation Guide (Download Item 9). The Improvement Plan portion identifies specific corrective actions to be taken, assigns responsibility to individuals or organizations, and establishes a timeline for completion.

The AAR/IP is a critical tool for assessing the effectiveness of the tabletop exercise. It captures outcomes, strengths, areas for improvement, and key lessons learned, and serves as a resource to inform and engage participants. Facilitators should inform participants at the end of the exercise when

they can expect to receive the AAR/IP and remind them that it is a "For Official Use Only" document, to be shared only with individuals who need to know.

It is recommended that the **draft AAR/IP** be distributed to participants within **30 days** of the exercise, and that the **final version** be disseminated within **60 days**. The **lead evaluator** is responsible for drafting the AAR/IP and submitting it to the **lead planner**, who will oversee distribution to the attendees.

APPENDIX A: MODULE MAP

The tables below map the exercise learning objectives to the question numbers in the SITMAN for each group for each module. Select the questions that are most appropriate for the training. Note: The template agenda is designed with the expectation that a subset of questions, based on the desired learning objectives, will be answered.

Learning Objectives (LO)

At the conclusion of this tabletop exercise, participants will be able to:

- 1. Articulate their specific roles and responsibilities to other professionals in reacting to a discovered Food Fraud incident.
- 2. State the purpose of having multiple agencies assume distinct and sometimes overlapping duties to effectively address and remedy the situation.
- 3. Collaborate with a diverse group of responders who may not have worked together before (i.e., food regulators, media, law enforcement, private sector risk managers, and so on).
- 4. Identify other entities or agencies that are needed to properly address the situation but were not included on the team.
- 5. Propose comprehensive, collaborative, and effective ideas, strategies, and solutions to ensure the timely remediation of the discovered Food Fraud incident.
- 6. Identify the strengths and development needs of their own agencies to improve or enhance their team's ability to detect and respond to a Food Fraud incident.

Law Enforcement

	Objective 1	Objective 2	Objective 3	Objective 4	Objective 5	Objective 6
Module 1	1,3	2	2	2	-	-
Module 2	1,2,4	-	3,5	-	-	-
Module 3	1,2,4	-	3,5	-		
Module 4	-	-	-	-	All Groups Questions	All Groups Questions

Food Industry

	Objective 1	Objective 2	Objective 3	Objective 4	Objective 5	Objective 6
Module 1	1,2,5	3,4	-	-	-	-
Module 2	1,5,6,7,8	2,3	4	-	-	-
Module 3	1,3,5,6,7,8	2,4	-	-	-	-
Module 4	-	-	-	-	All Groups Questions	All Groups Questions

Local, State, Tribal, and Territorial Regulatory Agencies

	Objective 1	Objective 2	Objective 3	Objective 4	Objective 5	Objective 6
Module 1	1,2,3,4,5	6	-	-	-	-
Module 2	4,5,6,7,11	2	1,3,8	8,9,10	-	-
Module 3	4,5,6,7,11	1	1,3,8	8,9,10	-	-
Module 4	-	-	-	-	All Group Questions	All Group Questions

Government and FDA

	Objective 1	Objective 2	Objective 3	Objective 4	Objective 5	Objective 6
Module 1	1,2,3,4,5	1,2,3	-	-	-	-
Module 2	1,2,3,4	-	1	-	1	-
Module 3	1,2,3,4	-	1	-	1	-
Module 4	-	-	-	-	All Groups Questions	All Groups Questions

APPENDIX B: SAMPLE AGENDA

Time	Agenda
9:00 to 9:30 a.m.	Sign-In/Registration/Welcome/Introductions/Exercise Objectives (30 minutes)
9:30 to 10:00 a.m.	Module 1 (30 minutes) Presentation of Scenario Conduct small group discussions related to specific responsibilities
10:00 to 10:15 a.m.	Break (15 minutes)
10:15 to 11:00 a.m.	Module 1 Continued (45 minutes) Each group reports on its assessment of the situation and steps to be taken. The whole group discusses how its work should be coordinated Gaps in coverage are identified
11:00 to 11:10 a.m. 11:10 to 11:30 a.m. 11:30 a.m. to Noon	Module 2 (60 minutes) Presentation of Scenario Conduct a small group discussion related to specific responsibilities Each group reports on its assessment of the situation and steps to be taken; the whole group discusses how its work should be coordinated; gaps in coverage are identified
Noon to 1:00 p.m.	Lunch (60 minutes)
1:00 to 1:10 p.m. 1:10 to 1:30 p.m. 1:30 to 2:00 p.m.	Module 3 (60 minutes) Presentation of Scenario Conduct a small group discussion related to specific responsibilities Each group reports on its assessment of the situation and steps to be taken; the whole group discusses how its work should be coordinated; gaps in coverage are identified
2:00 to 2:15 p.m.	Break (15 minutes)
	Module 4 (60 minutes)

2:15 to 2:25 p.m. 2:25 to 2:45 p.m. 2:45 to 3:15 p.m.	Presentation of Scenario Conduct a small group discussion related to specific responsibilities Each group reports on its assessment of the situation and steps to be taken; the whole group discusses how its work should be coordinated; gaps in coverage are identified
3:15 to 4:00 p.m.	Wrap-Up (45 minutes) After-Action Report Evaluations, Certificates, and close

APPENDIX C: FACILITATOR AND EVALUATOR ROLES

Facilitator's Roles and Responsibilities

The role of the facilitator is to guide the participants through the entire training exercise. It is preferred, but not required, that the facilitator be knowledgeable in food-related emergency response situations. The facilitator must be familiar with the following expectations before conducting the tabletop exercise contained within *Something's Fishy:*

2 M	2 Months in Advance of Event						
		Meet with Lead Planner/Planning team to review Learning Objectives.					
2 w	eek	s-1 Month in Advance of the Event					
		Meet with Lead Planner/Planning Team to go through the Facilitator's Guide.					
1 W	'eek	in Advance of Event					
		Read and understand the Facilitator's Guide before conducting the tabletop exercise.					
		Be familiar with the objectives of the exercise.					
		Work with the lead planner to ensure that participants are familiar with the objectives of the tabletop exercise – and the goal.					
		Thoroughly review the SITMAN, accompanying PowerPoint presentation, and mock conference call prompts.					
Day	of	the Event					
		Show up early (30-60 minutes) to help the lead planner and prepare for your day.					
		Confirm with everyone that they have signed in if training records are needed.					
		Establish and monitor a basic set of ground rules for participants to follow during discussion (covered in slide deck). Identify "parking lot," or ways that participants can provide feedback.					
		Alert participants of restroom location, emergency exit procedures, and the day's agenda.					
		Keep the tabletop exercise on schedule (time master).					
		Facilitate discussions by asking pertinent questions rather than offering opinions.					

	Keep all discussions focused by bringing the group back on track if the conversation strays from the topic.					
	Encourage interaction among the different groups as they would interact in the real world.					
	Encourage the participants to share their experiences and ideas so they can learn from one another.					
	Help the small groups during the breakout sessions if they have questions or need clarification of the discussion questions.					
	Identify participants who have relevant and recent experience with scenarios such as this and encourage them to share with less experienced participants.					
	Lead the group in a wrap-up discussion based on the questions identified by the lead planner. Be flexible with the content of the questions – if there were key issues raised, be sure to revisit them during the wrap-up segment.					
	Confirm with everyone that the evaluation is complete.					
Evaluator's Role and Responsibilities (Optional)						
Evalua	ator's Role and Responsibilities (Optional)					
Evaluat tableto the lea	cors are typically non-participant representatives from the various organizations involved in this up exercise (e.g., public health official, food inspector, epidemiologist). They should understand rning objectives, know how to observe the discussion, what to look for, what to record, and how yze the collected data.					
Evaluat tableto the lea to anal	cors are typically non-participant representatives from the various organizations involved in this ip exercise (e.g., public health official, food inspector, epidemiologist). They should understand rning objectives, know how to observe the discussion, what to look for, what to record, and how					
Evaluat tableto the lea to anal They as	cors are typically non-participant representatives from the various organizations involved in this in exercise (e.g., public health official, food inspector, epidemiologist). They should understand rning objectives, know how to observe the discussion, what to look for, what to record, and how yze the collected data. Sisist in completing the AAR/IP. A summary is provided in <i>Appendix E</i> , which is also in the SITMAN,					
Evaluate tableto the leasto analy. They as and the Evaluate	cors are typically non-participant representatives from the various organizations involved in this up exercise (e.g., public health official, food inspector, epidemiologist). They should understand rning objectives, know how to observe the discussion, what to look for, what to record, and how yze the collected data. Sisist in completing the AAR/IP. A summary is provided in <i>Appendix E</i> , which is also in the SITMAN, as full AAR/IP and EED are included as separate files.					
Evaluate tableto the leasto analy. They as and the Evaluate	cors are typically non-participant representatives from the various organizations involved in this ap exercise (e.g., public health official, food inspector, epidemiologist). They should understand rning objectives, know how to observe the discussion, what to look for, what to record, and how yze the collected data. Sisist in completing the AAR/IP. A summary is provided in <i>Appendix E</i> , which is also in the SITMAN, a full AAR/IP and EED are included as separate files.					
Evaluate tableto the leasto analy. They as and the Evaluate	cors are typically non-participant representatives from the various organizations involved in this op exercise (e.g., public health official, food inspector, epidemiologist). They should understand rning objectives, know how to observe the discussion, what to look for, what to record, and how yze the collected data. Sesist in completing the AAR/IP. A summary is provided in <i>Appendix E</i> , which is also in the SITMAN, or full AAR/IP and EED are included as separate files. Cors should be sure to record: Identified issues					
Evaluate tableto the leasto analy. They as and the Evaluate.	cors are typically non-participant representatives from the various organizations involved in this ip exercise (e.g., public health official, food inspector, epidemiologist). They should understand raining objectives, know how to observe the discussion, what to look for, what to record, and how yze the collected data. Sisist in completing the AAR/IP. A summary is provided in <i>Appendix E</i> , which is also in the SITMAN, a full AAR/IP and EED are included as separate files. Cors should be sure to record: Identified issues How decisions are made					

APPENDIX D: PARTICIPANT FEEDBACK FORM

The prepared evaluation survey can be found at https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/RQSJPMM.

(QR code is in the slide deck)

Participant Feedback Form

EX	tercise Location			Date			
Pa	articipant Name			Job Title			
Ag	Agency/Affiliation						
Pai	t I – Recommend	lations and Action St	ens				
1.	List the top three	best practices identified	during this exe	ercise.			
2.	Based on discussion	ons today, list the top thi	ree issues and/	or areas that i	need improven	nent.	
						_	

Part II - Exercise Design and Conduct

Please rate, on a scale of 1 to 5, your overall assessment of the exercise relative to the statements provided below, with 1 indicating strong disagreement with the statement and 5 indicating strong agreement.

Assessment Factor						
1.	The exercise was well-structured and organized.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	The exercise scenario was plausible and realistic.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	The multimedia presentation helped the participants understand and become engaged in the scenario.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	The facilitator(s) was knowledgeable about the material, kept the exercise on target, and was sensitive to group dynamics.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	The Situation Manual (SITMAN) used during the exercise was a valuable tool throughout the exercise.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	Participation in the exercise was appropriate for someone in my position.	1	2	3	4	5
7.	The participants included the right people in terms of level and mix of disciplines.	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide any recommendations on how this exercise or future exercises could be improved or enhanced.				

APPENDIX E: AFTER-ACTION REPORT/IMPROVEMENT PLAN (AAR/IP)

Information and data collection for the evaluation of discussion-based exercises comes from the information that evaluators record as the exercise takes place. Typically, the evaluator (not to be confused with the group spokesperson or the group recorder) records specific information for each breakout group as the discussion is taking place. The kinds of information that evaluators should record include issues identified, how decisions are made, roles and responsibilities (of participating entities), coordination/cooperation issues, and recommendations made by the breakout group.

For the analysis phase of the exercise, evaluators should, as a group, try to address the following facets of the exercise:

- How well would personnel from the exercising jurisdiction and other participating entities have been able to perform the necessary or critical tasks?
- What decisions were required, and who should have made them?
- Were additional resources required? If so, how should they have been sourced?
- Would existing plans/protocols/policies enable the full performance of critical or necessary tasks? Were participants familiar with those documents?
- How well did personnel from various entities and jurisdictions coordinate and cooperate to accomplish necessary tasks? Are there agreements in place (among entities, agencies, and/or jurisdictions) to support cooperative accomplishment of necessary tasks?
- What lessons were learned from the exercise?
- What changes/improvements are recommended?

APPENDIX F: SIGN-IN SHEET

Name	Agency/Affiliation	Phone	Email

APPENDIX G: PERSONAL LEARNING INVENTORY (INCLUDED IN SITMAN)

This is your Personal Learning Inventory (PLI). Use it throughout the day to record your notes, questions, and discoveries. Not only is the PLI a convenient place to capture the significant events of today's tabletop exercise, but it can also be highly useful later for documenting your experience and reviewing the key points. The PLI is your personal document and will not be collected by the facilitator or evaluators. This is your personal journal.

Participant Name				Tabletop Exercise	
Date				Facilitator	
1. What are the most important things you learned today?					
2.	What are some tabletop exerci		ns you will	undertake based on	your participation in today's
3.	Did you learn a describe.	bout some new reso	ources that	will help you in your	daily activities? If so, list and
Gen	eral Notes				