What's Your Intervention Strategy?

Retail Program Standards Sharing Session: Standard 9
2021 AFDO AEC Retail Track
June 2021

Panelists:

- Lane Drager, Consumer Protection Program Coordinator, Boulder County Public Health, Colorado
- Meg McGuire, Environmental Health Programs Coordinator, Rockingham County Environmental Health, North Carolina
- Mark Speltz, Bureau Chief, Iowa Department of Inspections & Appeals

Boulder County Public Health, CO

- Size of Department: 8 Full time employees; 1,800+ establishments
- Enrolled in Retail Program Standards since 2008
- Facility Types Inspected: Retail
- Source of data for Risk Factor Study:
 - Routine inspection and Active Managerial Control Assessments
- 2 risk factor studies plus some additional assessments and evaluations completed



Rockingham County Environmental Health, NC

- Staff of 9 EHS with 4 that work in the Food Inspections Program, County Population is 91,785 and is 573 square miles
- Number of Food Service Establishments: 268 requiring 791 inspections each year
- Enrolled in the FDA Program Standards in 2014, currently meets Standards 3, 4 & 9
- Responsible for inspecting restaurants, retail, institutional food service and school lunchrooms. Also responsible for inspecting lodging, institutional buildings, child care centers, school buildings, public swimming pools and tattoo artists along with conducting childhood lead investigations when needed
- Collected separate data for both surveys using FDA survey form
- First data collection 156 surveys, second data collection 153 surveys





Iowa Department of Inspections & Appeals - Food & Consumer Safety Bureau

- Size of Department: 37 employees, 12,000 of establishments
- Enrolled in Retail Program Standards since 2001
- Facility Types Inspected: Retail Food, Food Service, Manufactured Foods, Lodging, Consumable Hemp
- Source of data for Risk Factor Study: Routine Inspection Data
- ▶ Data Collections: Baseline 2014 Since we use routine data, our data collection is continuous. Data is reviewed annually and strategies are adjusted or enhanced based on trends. Completed comprehensive analysis occurred in 2015 and 2018 during self-assessments



Panel Discussion

- What were the major findings of your risk factor study?
 - Foodborne illness risk factors with the most control and least control
- What was your targeted intervention strategy?
 - What resources were needed?
 - Feedback from industry?
- Impact of intervention strategy?
 - Measured by a subsequent data collection? Planning for a future data collection or already have a data collection in-progress?

Panel Discussion

- Were there any challenges or barriers that you encountered or identified while conducting your data collections or while implementing your chosen intervention strategy?
- What is one thing that you want jurisdictions that are hesitant to start working on Program Standard 9 to know?
- Q&A via Zoom Chat

Thank you for your time!

Special thanks to our Panelists:

Lane Drager

Meg McGuire

Mark Speltz