#### **Set Ifpti** INTERNATIONAL FOOD PROTECTION TRAINING INSTITUTE





IFPTI Fellowship Cohort V: Research Presentation Adam Lewis 2015-2016



ifpti.org



# Review of U.S. State-Level Entomophagy Regulation 2015

# Adam Lewis, Agricultural Consultant Minnesota Department of Agriculture (MDA) Food and Feed Division IFPTI 2015-2016 Fellow

Funding for this program was made possible, in part, by the Food and Drug Administration through grant 5U54FD004324-05; views expressed in written materials or publications and by speakers and moderators do not necessarily reflect the official policies of the Department of Health and Human Services; nor does any mention of trade names, commercial practices, or organization imply endorsement by the United States Government.



Background

- Entomophagy "Insect consumption by humans"
- Worldwide entomophagy is common
  - Over 1,900 known consumable insects—beetles #1
- Why eat insects?
  - Healthy
  - Environmentally friendly
  - Economical



Image source: https://media.npr.org/



# Background (continued)

#### **Entomophagy Regulation**

- Currently regulated by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), State, and Local agencies
  - Good Manufacturing
    Practices (GMPs), Retail
    Food Code



Image source: https://shawglobalnews.files.wordpress.com



#### **Entomophagy Regulation (continued)**

- Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA)—Preventative Controls for Human Food (PCHF) rule
  - Recently passed, based on Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point principles
  - Farms exempt unless they change the raw agricultural commodities (RAC) into a processed food
  - If no exemption, facilities must follow PCHF
  - No entomophagy guidance document



At present, there is no comprehensive description of the state regulation of the processing and sale of insects for human consumption.



- 1. What types of insects are most commonly being processed and consumed for human consumption?
- 2. What types of foods are produced using insects?
- 3. What are the challenges associated with the regulation of entomophagy facilities?
- 4. What are the food safety risks related to insect processing and consumption based on the current understanding of state food safety regulators?



- Developed survey for State agriculture/health leaders
  - 13 questions (8 regulatory framework; 5 regulatory process)
- Sent an introductory e-mail and then conducted telephone interviews
- Survey extended over 4 months
- Survey responses



# **Study Population**

- 100% response from states
- 30 states
  - Not regulating
  - No previous inquires
- 20 states
  - Currently regulate
  - Previously regulated
  - Received inquires









- 6 states regulate cricket products using GMPs
  - CA, IL, MA, NC, OH, OR
- 9 states received inquiries to produce cricket products
  - AK, ID, ME, MI, MN, SC, TX, VT, WA
- 2 states regulate entomophagy at the retail level using food code
  - NY, AZ
- 2 states previously regulated cricket products using GMPs
  - LA, UT
- 1 state received a non-cricket inquiry (falls under state exemption)
  - KS



State	Regulating Cricket Product Entomophagy?	Cricket Used as an Ingredient?	Selling Whole Cricket?	Regulating Other Insect Products Using GMPs?	Food Products Manufactured
СА	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Chocolate dipped insects, hard candy with insects, and cricket flour
IL	Yes	Yes	No	No	Power bar
MA	Yes	Yes	No	No	Snack products, chips
NC	Yes	Yes	No	No	Baked goods
ОН	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Whole crickets
OR	Yes	Yes	No	No	Cricket flour, instant Oatmeal



# Challenges Identified by Regulators Regarding Entomophagy

Challenges	Responses
Approved source	24 (30%)
Understanding the process	18 (23%)
Understanding the hazards	10 (13%)
No response	9 (11%)
Training staff	7 (9%)
No specific regulation	4 (5%)
No challenges	3 (4%)
Establishing jurisdiction	2 (2%)
No scientific evidence	2 (2%)
The unknown	1 (1%)
Total	80 (100%)



**Results** (continued)

## **Challenges for GMP Regulation by States**

- Approved source (40%)
- Understanding the hazards (20%)
- Understanding process (20%)
- The unknown (10%)
- Establishing jurisdiction (10%)



Image source: http://www.nydailynews.com/



#### **Entomophagy Is Widespread**

- Example: 2 food manufacturers (Exo and Chapul)
  - Distribute to 42 of 50 states
  - Packaged cricket bars product sold at retail
- Two large cricket growers
  - Aspire (TX) Capacity to produce 7 million cricket weekly
  - Big Cricket Farms (OH) First food grade certification from FDA



#### Hazards of Entomophagy

- 8 states currently/previously regulated entomophagy
  - No hazards identified
- Tarantula example
  - Explorers Club Banquet
- Possible allergen relationship to shellfish
- E-mail guidance from FDA
  - Facilities must prove product is safe and wholesome





- Entomophagy lacks national standardization.
- Found in most states.
- The volume of product sold is increasing.
- FDA has not provided guidance to states and industry regarding hazards, processes, and sources.
- As a result, there is a current and significant need for increased guidance for consistent entomophagy regulation.



- 1. FDA work with the manufacturers of entomophagy.
- 2. Provide a clearly defined guidance document for entomophagy.
- 3. An expanded study should be conducted to identify potential hazards associated with the production of insect-based foods.



- Dr. Ben Miller, MDA Division Director
- Jeff Luedeman, MDA Retail Food Program Manager
- Lorna Girard, MDA Retail Food Inspection Supervisor
- Valerie Gamble, MDA Outreach and Development
- Gerald Wojtala, IFPTI Executive Director
- Dr. Paul Dezendorf, IFPTI Research Subject Matter Expert
- Cameron Smoak, Mentor
- IFPTI staff
- Participants in the survey
- Cohort 5 Fellows



# **Questions?**



Image source: http://inhabitat.com

# Adam Lewis Adam.Lewis@state.mn.us

"Review of U.S. State-Level Entomophagy Regulation 2015"





Additional Information Table of Contents

- <u>Botulinum</u>
- <u>Cricket Harvesting—Big Cricket Farm Ohio</u>
- <u>Process—European Food Safety Authority</u>
- Product Example
- Label Example



- "Contamination of insects and products thereof can also occur after farming and before consumption, as happened when five individuals in Kenya died of botulism following the consumption of termites (Nightingale and Ayim, 1980). In this case, the insects had been stored in plastic bags, in anaerobic conditions during four days of transportation. C. botulinum has also been considered the cause of three lethal cases in Namibia, following the ingestion of caterpillars (Schabel, 2010). "
- Risk profile related to production and consumption of insects as food and feed – EFSA

Return to Table of Contents



## Cricket Harvesting— Big Cricket Farm Ohio

- Life Cycle House Cricket
  - 8-12 weeks
  - Harvested at 6 weeks
  - Fed organic fruits and vegetables last 10 days
  - Crickets fast 1-2 days
  - Frozen





- Blanching, chilling and drying are the most common processes that have been encountered in this respect each with the aim of extending shelf life and also reducing microbial load.
- Instructions for cooking and 'wash before use' types of message. In some cases, specific parts of the insect are advised to be removed such as the wings and legs of crickets, to improve the eating experience and reduce choking risks.





# **Product Example**



Photos Courtesy of Andrew Linton, Division Manager, Environmental Services Department Maricopa County, AZ "Review of U.S. State-Level Entomophagy Regulation 2015"



# Label Example



Photo Courtesy of Andrew Linton, Division Manager, Environmental Services Department Maricopa County, AZ